Estimating Load Condition Having Caused Structure Failure and an Optimal Design Taking Account of the Estimated Result

Takao MUROMAKI, Kazuyuki HANAHARA Yukio TADA (Kobe University, Japan) Shigeyuki KURODA, Tadahisa FUKUI (Martec K.K. , Japan)

REC2012, June 13-15, 2012, Brno

Introduction



Excavators having a crane-hook can do both digging and suspension work.

Background

Contrary to its convenience, crane-hook is damaged during some kind of suspension works.

typical crane-hook failed sample





It is necessary to take effective steps.



Policy

Improvement of performance

(A) Identification of applied load condition(B) Shape design of crane-hook

Ioad applied point, direction, magnitude
 probability distribution of critical load

light weightstrength to critical load condition

Previous Study

Our previous work (REC2010)



Current Study

Introduction of elasto-plastic analysis

identification of load applied point load direction

Ioad magnitude



Support for multi peak distribution model Estimation result is obtained based on single peak probability distribution function

multi peak probability distribution function
EM algorithm

Crane-Hook Model



- FEM model is constructed by N_{ρ} beam elements.
- Each element is constructed by N_d layers.

Stress-Strain Relationship



yield stress Young's modulus tangent modulus $\overline{\sigma}^* = 200 \text{ [MPa]}$ $E_1^* = 260 \text{ [GPa]}$ $E_2^* = 1 \text{ [GPa]}$

8

Load Condition

applied load condition on FEM model

(1) load applied point



(2) load direction



(3) load magnitude
20kN, 40kN, 60kN, 80kN
100kN, 120kN, 140kN

7 pattern

all combination: 441 pattern

Construction of Database



Feature Extraction



Estimation Process



EM Algorithm

EM (Expectation Maximization)

iterative optimization method to estimate unknown parameters
 maximize posterior probability

- $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$: some unknown parameters
- G : some "hidden" nuisance variables
- χ : given measurement data

search for a maximum of $P(\Theta, G | \chi)$

- **1. step E:** calculate $P(\Theta^{\text{old}}, G | \chi)$
- 2. step M:

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\text{new}} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}} P(\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\text{old}}, \boldsymbol{G} \mid \boldsymbol{\chi}) \ln P(\boldsymbol{\Theta}, \boldsymbol{G} \mid \boldsymbol{\chi})$$

Applicability Test

Ioad identification using stretch experiment image



estimation result





estimated load magnitude is smaller than actual load the estimation method has uncertainty to this level

Sample Result

failed hook

identification result



- load applied position shifts rightward from lower center
- load magnitude is 3 times greater than nominal load
- load direction shifts rightward

Estimation Result (1/2)

We apply the method to 12 failed samples.





Estimation Result (2/2)

Aload direction

A load magnitude





peak is at 120 [kN]

(nominal load is 29 [kN])

FEM model



same model as used in load estimation



Objective Function

design objective: light weight, high stiffness



: possible load applied points

criterion (1) structural weight

criterion (2)

structural stiffness

- → ratio between load and displacement
- (load vector is specified by estimation result of load applied point)

Obtained Designs



Features of Obtained Design

hook shape

- hook shape becomes thinner toward the tip point "E" from the lowest center point "D"
- thickness of region around the point "B" is greater than any other region

cross-sectional shape

- cross-sectional shape of the point "C" is rectangular
- at the point "D", center part is thinner than both end side (upper and lower)









Conclusion

🗙 load estimation

implement elasto-plastic analysis for construction of Load-Deformation database

- load position lies between lower center and tip-end
- load magnitude is four times larger than nominal load
- load direction is downward

🛠 shape design

formulate multi-objective optimization problem taking account of estimation results

- obtained hook shapes have a tapered shape
- cross-sectional shapes do not have "a T-shape" but have "a rectangular" or "an I-shape"

Questions are welcome. E-mail to: Takao Muromaki t.muromaki@maizuru-ct.ac.jp



EM Algorithm

probability density function (PDF) **mixtured Gaussian model**

$$P(\boldsymbol{\chi}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_{k} N(\boldsymbol{\chi} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}, \boldsymbol{V}_{k}), \quad N(\boldsymbol{\chi} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{V}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2} |\boldsymbol{V}|^{1/2}} \exp\{-\frac{(\boldsymbol{\chi} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{T} \boldsymbol{V}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\chi} - \boldsymbol{\mu})}{2}\},$$

🗙 EM algorithm

Step1

$$\gamma(z_{nk}) = \frac{\pi_k N(\boldsymbol{\chi}_n \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{V}_k)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \pi_j N(\boldsymbol{\chi}_n \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \boldsymbol{V}_j)}$$

Step2

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{\text{new}} = \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma(z_{nk}) \boldsymbol{\chi}_{n}$$

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{k}^{\text{new}} = \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma(\boldsymbol{z}_{nk}) (\boldsymbol{\chi}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{\text{new}}) (\boldsymbol{\chi}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{\text{new}})^{T}$$

- π_k : mixture weight
- μ : mean
- V : variance

$$\pi_k^{\text{new}} = \frac{N_k}{N}$$

25